Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/829,216	HOFMANN, BIRGIT C.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Wendy C Haas	1661
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Wendy C Haas.	(3)	
(2) Attorney Richard C. Peet.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 2/16/2005	Time: 3:45 p.m	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None.		
Claims discussed: The claim		
Prior art documents discussed: None.		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Substance of interview of record in the attached Examiner's Amendment.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
12 11		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Applicant)	Jenicani s Mebresentative Oli	znature – ir appropriate)